SERGE 2 3 S DISTRIBUTION INDEX BRANCH 36. BR	00%000000 # 4744 /:	600054613
SEGIST FOCKY FLATS SUBJECT SEASON OF THE STANCE OF T	DOE CADER # 4700, /	Y .
BARARA, ME BRANCH DB. GRANCH DB.	9 5HFQ 3 9 5 1	
SURTINGWEAR Seas ROCKY FLATS, INC. CARRIVAL, GL. CARRI	DISTRIBUTION LTRENC	FE-F DOCKY ELATE
BURLINGAME, A.H. FRANCH, D.B. CARRYAL, G.J. ROCKY FLATS, INC. ROCK		-,EGEG ROCK Y PLAIS
BRANCH DB. CANNIVAL DJ. CANN		·
CARNINAL G. TROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. 80X 464, GOLDEN, COLORAQO 80402-0664 (303) 966-7000 [AVIS, 1.6] TRANS A.B. TRAN		FG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC.
BANS J.G. FERRERA D.W. FERRERA D.W. FERRERA D.W. GES. 2.X. GOLDER M. FANNI B.J. HARMAN L.K. HEALY T.J. HEALY T		7 ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464, GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 • (303) 966-7000
FERESRA D.W. FRAY, R.E. GESTLA W.S. GOLSAT		7
May 2, 1995 95-RF-03951		i ·
SEIS_JA GOLN P.M. HANN 32. HAN		i
SCOVER W.S. GOLAN P.M. HANNI, 3.J. HARMAN, L.K. PEART T.J. FEART T.J. FEART T.J. FEART T.J. FEART T.J. FEART T.J. FORE T. G. HUTGHINS, N.M. JACKSON, D.T. KELL R.E. KUESTER A.W. MARK, G.E. MARK, G		May 2, 1995 95-RF-03951
SCLAR PM HANN, B. HARMAN, L.K. HARMAN, L.K. HARMAN, L.K		_ May 2, 1000
HANNIA, S. J. HASHAY, T.J. HEALY, T.J. HEA		7
HARMAN L.K. HEALY T.J.		1
Number N		7
Environmental Restoration Division ADMIN RECORD		Kud Muenchow
HURCHANS, N.M. JACKSON, D.T. ZELL, R.E. TOUSTER, R.W. APRIL 20, 1995, UPDATE ON OPERABLE UNIT SIX (OUG) REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION (RI) AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES (FS) ISSUES CONDENSED MEETING MINUTES - NAH-012-95 ACtion: Forward meeting minutes to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). POTTER, G. PIZZUTO, V.M. ISSUES ON THE CONTROL IN AGREEMENT OF TH		
# DUCE, RFPU ACKSON DT		- Changing hotoland Division
ACKSON D.T. KELL RE KUESTER. A.W. MARK, O.E. MCEONALD, M.M. MCENNA, F.G. MCENNA, F.G. MCENNA, F.G. MCENNA, F.G. MCHONA,		- DOE, REPO
RELL R.E. KUESTER. AW MARK, G.E. MICDONALD M.M MCKENNA, F.G. MCRONALD M.M MCKENNA, F.G. M	JACKSON, D.T.	
APRIL 20, 1995, UPDATE ON OPERABLE UNIT SIX (OU6) REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION (RI) AND MARK SIA. MICHARY F.G. MCRENNA		•
MARK, G.E. MICONALD, M.M MICON		APRIL 20, 1995 LIPDATE ON OPERABLE UNIT SIX (OU6) REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION (RI) AND
MCRAPHOSE J.K. MORGAN, R.V. POTITER G.L. REZUTO, V.M. RISING, T.L. SANDLING, N.B. SCHWARTZ, J.K. SETCOK, G.H. Agency (EPA) and Feasibility Studies (FS) SCHWARTZ, J.K. SETCOK, G.H. Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). POTITER S.G. TOBIN, P.M. JOOPHEIS, G.M. MILSON, J.M. M. J. APBGE J. J. T. MENSON, J. J. T. MENSON, J. J. T. MENSON, J. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. J. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. J. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. J. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. J. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. M. J. J. J. M. J.	MARX, G.E.	FACINITY OF IDIES (FS) ISSUES CONDENSED MEETING MINITES - NAH-012-95
MORGAN, RV. Department of Public Health and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). ### Pizzuro v. M. SISING, T.L. SANDLING, N.B. Insulation in the Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Studies (FS) SANDLING, N.B. Issues meeting that was held on April 20, 1995. Please forward to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). #### Pizzuro v. M. Steward v. Stew	McDONALD, M.M	- FEASIBILITY STUDIES (FS) 1330E3 CONDENSED MEETING MINOTES - MAIT 012 33
MORGAN, RV. Department of Public Health and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). ### Pizzuro v. M. SISING, T.L. SANDLING, N.B. Insulation in the Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Studies (FS) SANDLING, N.B. Issues meeting that was held on April 20, 1995. Please forward to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). #### Pizzuro v. M. Steward v. Stew	McKENNA, F.G.	•
Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). Pizzuto, v.M.		Action: Forward meeting minutes to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Colorado
POTTER, G.L.	MORGAN, R.V.	
ASSING T.L. SANDLING, N.B. SANDLING, N.B. SCHOCK, G.H. STLOCK, G.H. STEVART, D.L. STEDART, D.L. STEGRAS, G.H. TOSIR, P.M. TOSI	POTTER, G.L.	. Bopainion of the same and a large of the same and a
SANDLING. N.S. SCHWARTZ J.K. SETLOCK, G.H. S	PIZZUTO, V.M.	
SCHWARTZ_UK_ SETLOCK_GH. STEVART_OL. STEVART_OL. STIGER_SC. TOBIN_P.M. VICOPHEIS_GM. WILSON_JM. M. L. LOSED L.	RISING, T.L.	(FC)
Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). STEWART D.L. ST	SANDLING, N.S.	This letter transmits the proceedings from the Remedial Investigation (HI) and Feasibility Studies (FS)
Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). STEWART D.L. ST		. Issues meeting that was held on April 20, 1995. Please forward to the Environmental Protection
TOBIN P.M. VOORHEIS. G.M. VILSON J.M. VILS		Agency (FPA) and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE).
Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at extension 6987, if you have any at extension and you have any questions. Note of the please contact me at ex		rigories, (El vi) and the constant of the cons
WILSON J.M. MILSON J.M. MILSON J.M. MILSON J.M. MILSON J.M. MILSON J.M. MILSON J.M. MAHADA J.M. Attachment: As Stated DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE MAHADA J.M. ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIALIOPEN J.M. ACTION ITEM STATUS PARTIALIOPEN J.M. ACTION ITEM STATUS PARTIALIOPEN J.M. ACTION ITEM STATUS ACTION ITEM STATUS ACTION ITEM STATUS ACTION ITEM STA		The state of the s
WILSON J.M. M. L. PESC L. T. MARTIN L. N. MARTIN M. L. MARTIN M. M. M		Please contact me at extension 6967, if you have any questions.
M. J. JOSE John Manual Confidence Manual C		
Neil A. Holsteen Operable Units No. 5 - 7 Closures Environmental Restoration Program Division NAH:cb Orig. and 1 cc - K. Muenchow Admin RECORD X PROJECT FILE X PATS CLASSIFICATION UCNI UCNI UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SEGRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE OCCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: MARTIAL OPEN ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL OPEN ACTION ITEM STATUS ACTION ITEM S	·	· Price · Price
Neil A. Holsteen Operable Units No. 5 - 7 Closures Environmental Restoration Program Division NAH:cb Orig. and 1 cc - K. Muenchow Admin RECORD X PROJECT FILE X PATS CLASSIFICATION UCNI UCNI UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SEGRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE OCCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: MARTIAL OPEN ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL OPEN ACTION ITEM STATUS ACTION ITEM S		Mul a total
Neil A. Holsteen Operable Units No. 5 - 7 Closures Environmental Restoration Program Division NAH:cb Orig. and 1 cc - K. Muenchow Admin Record X PROJECT FILE X PATS CLASSIFICATION UCN UCNI UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE OCCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WANVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: PARTIAL OPEN		
Operable Units No. 5 - 7 Closures Environmental Restoration Program Division NAH:cb Orig. and 1 cc - K. Muenchow Admin RECORD X Attachment: ASSIFICATION UNIL ASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WANCE PER CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WANCE PER CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WANCE PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIALOPEN MATTACH M		At the Melana
Environmental Restoration Program Division NAH:cb CORRES. CONTROL IX ADMIN RECORD IX PROJECT FILE IX PATS CLASSIFICATION UNCIASSIFIED IX CONFIDENTIAL SEGRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION OFFICE CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIALOPEN		
CORRES. CONTROL IX ADMIN RECORD		
CORRES. CONTROL IX ADMIN RECORD	E. C. MAST V.	Environmental Restoration Program Division
CORRES. CONTROL X ADMIN RECORD X PROJECT FILE X PATS		
CORRES. CONTROL X ADMIN RECORD X PROJECT FILE X PATS		NAH-ch
ADMIN RECORD X PROJECT FILE X PATS		1471 LOD
ADMIN RECORD X PROJECT FILE X PATS		
ADMIN RECORD X PROJECT FILE X Attachment: PATS	CORRES CONTROL X	Orig. and 1 cc - K. Muenchow
Attachment: As Stated CLASSIFICATION UCNI UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO:		
CLASSIFICATION UCNI UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: // A- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN		Attachment:
CLASSIFICATION UCNI UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION OFFICE AUTHORIZED CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: A.		
UCNI UNCLASSIFIED X CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: //- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN	CLASSIFICATION	As Ottion
CONFIDENTIAL SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: MA ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN		
SECRET AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE OCCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: //A- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN	UNCLASSIFIED X	DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO REP CC NO: A- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN	CONFIDENTIAL	CLASSIFICATION OFFICE
SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: A	SECRET	CONTRACTOR OFFICE
SIGNATURE DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: A	AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER	·
DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN	:	
REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: //A- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: //A- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN		
IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO: N/A- ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN		
ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN	•	\sim
ACTION ITEM STATUS: PARTIAL/OPEN CLOSED LETTER APPROVALS:	7.	
PARTIAL/OPEN		
N/A CLOSED		
LETTER APPROVALS:	PARTIAL/OPEN	
LETTER APPROVALS:	N/H CLOSED	
	——··	
CRIGINATOR & TYPIST INITIALS	LETTER AFFROYALS.	\cdot
	ORIGINATOR & TYPIST INITIALS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Attachment 1 RF-03951-95 Page 1 of 4

UPDATE ON OU6 RI AND FS ISSUES April 20, 1995

Attendees:

Harlan Ainscough - CDPHE R. M. Cygnarowicz - EG&G M. L. Hogg, ICF-Kaiser for EG&G Holsteen - EG&G N. A.

Bonnie Lavelle - EPA

P. J. Martin - EG&G E. C. Mast - EG&G

Kurt Muenchow - DOE/OR

R. A. Randall - EG&G

Stegen - Parsons Rich

John Stover - DOE/PMO

The meeting began at 0900 hours with Pete Martin briefing on the B-1 Dam Hot Spot Removal.

1. B-1 Dam Hot Spot Removal

The Hot Spot was uncovered during B-1 dam renovation. The associated pipe and drum have already been removed. Based on the '93 fiddler survey, the radiological contamination was concentrated in a small area at the water level. It is assumed that the contamination extends anywhere from 2-4 feet below the surface.

This effort will probably cost \$150,000 with most of this taken up by analytical costs. The funding will be derived from the underspent condition of the OU6 Accelerated Actions Work Package. If this money is not spent this year, it will be lost.

- Biggest concern is how does this fit into the overall picture of OU6? What are our goals in EPA **OU6?**
- Real reason that DOE is pushing these actions is to show progress. This is something that can DOE be done reasonably quick in this Fiscal Year. Even though risk is not a major factor, this action aids in the goal of clean up of Rocky Flats.
- Are there any cost savings by doing this concurrent with OU6 remediation activities? Isn't it CDPHE more cost effective to only mobilize once?

The Hot Spot clean up will be handled differently than sediment remediation. There will be two different crews and technologies carrying out separate activities.

- CDPHE. The lead for the PAM will be CDPHE will be Caren Joahannes who can be reached at 693-2300.
- Presentation of PCB project analytical data in the RFI/RI Report 2.

The pond sediments were sampled from 0-6", which is different than the Work Plan requirements of 0-2'... We would like to use this information in Chapter 4, Nature and Extent; and the Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA), however we want to keep it separate. After incorporation into the HHRA, we will find a way to discuss risk as a whole.

EPA We would like to see the overall risk, not a separation.

CDPHE Can we have a meeting when the PCB project data and associated risk is evaluated with the rest of the data?

3. What is the final decision on Arsenic?

The EPA approval letter for the COC TM directed us to retain arsenic as a human health COC in stream sediments. Based on a spatial distribution evaluation for Rocky Flats and also the Front Range, historical knowledge of arsenic use at the plant site, and the low values detected, it does not appear that arsenic should be considered a COC in stream sediments for OU6.

We do not want to consider arsenic as a HHRA COC. However, the risk to arsenic for the site and background will be evaluated separately in the Uncertainty Section of the HHRA.

The EPA would like a comprehensive evaluation of risk, even though the arsenic project data might be analyzed separately. EPA will write a letter that approves the COC TM but does not require arsenic to be a stream sediment COC.

CDPHE concurred with this.

Results from the RAAMP Samplers

TM1 requires the use of RAAMP Sampler data in the air modeling for OU6. We are not using this data because we are modeling PM10 data from each area of concern. The RAAMP data are total particulate matter and the PM10 data are a subset of that.

Make an attempt to find the PM10 Samplers on plant site and try to tie the data to the RAAMP data. Would like to have an air workshop.

5. Who will evaluate whether Toxicity data is necessary from a storm event stream sample.

CDPHE Talk to Jeb Love about this.

EPA Would like to have Lloyd Parrish look at this data. He is the EPA aquatic biologist.

6. Acetone and Methylene Chloride data that are now U-coded and were not U-coded at the time of the cut-off data for the OU6 Draft Report database.

A lot of data showed hits of acetone and methylene chloride. These were showing up as B-qualified data on the nature and extent maps. During the first data delivery from Quantalex, the lab qualifier was reported in a column that was not uploaded into RFEDS. Woodward-Clyde-Federal Services will be removing these data from Nature and Extent.

7. Early review of draft sections of the RFI/RI Report.

Chapters 1-5 are in good shape and will be available for review in the near future. The HHRA is almost complete and will undergo some minor revisions before it is available for review.

- Would like a less formal review similar to OU3. Would be able to come to Interlocken and spend the day reviewing the Draft Report. The risk assessment may require a more formal review.
- 8. TCE data for groundwater near the OU6 trenches.

In a previous OU6 meeting, it was agreed upon that the groundwater contamination around the OU6 trenches, south of the OU7 landfill would be addressed by OU7. However, it appears as though OU7 will not be assessing the contamination. The source of this contamination is still unclear.

Although this is not an OU6 assessment, we will ensure that it does not fall through the cracks.

An attempt will be made to install groundwater wells between the PU&D yard and this area for further information.

Note: Since the meeting on April 20, it has been learned that OU7 will model the VOC data from the trench area wells.

9. Vinyl Chloride hit upstream of IHSS 141, Sludge Dispersal Area.

This is probably linked to OU2; however, OU6 will continue to evaluate it and the impact on the South Walnut Creek drainage.

Discrepancies in the Background Comparison for OU6

This was discovered while studying the arsenic issue. Woodward-Clyde did not use SAS code for their background comparison, they wrote their own code. We had EG&G Statistical Applications do a background comparison on the OU6 database and several discrepancies were discovered. In summary, we have discovered a problem and are documenting it. Theremay not be any problem, we are still investigating the situation.

Feasibility Study Issues

1. Streamlining of the FS by replacing TM1 and TM2 with letters and follow-up presentations to the agencies.

TM1 is already nearly final and this would not save any time or money to change to a letter.

TM2 could experience cost and schedule savings by submitting a letter summarizing the alternative development and screening process. This would be accompanied by appresentation that would provide an explanation of the development of the screening of alternatives. We can expect approximately one month of savings by preparing a letter for TM2.

EPA is in the process of writing a letter that documents their support for this approach and emphasizes support for attempts to be flexible and creative.

Update on OU6 RI and FS Issues April 20, 1995 Page 4

DOE

Would like to see us be able to move forward when we have enough information to jell a vision for where we are going in this OU. This could be when the ecological risk assessment (ERA), HHRA, and detailed analysis of alternatives have each reached a stage where we can start focusing our efforts. We will still continue with the formal documentation process, but we want to accelerate the front end of the decision process. As we narrow the funnel, we want everybody to be on board, including stakeholders.

The Clean-Up Work Plan provides a model that we can use. The HHRA will be complete soon and the ERA screening process will be done by mid-May.

We will plan on meeting in late May to begin a dialogue on the decision process. We will discuss the results of TM1, the ERA screening results, and the HHRA.

2. Combining the OU5 and OU6 Feasibility Studies.

EPA and CDPHE did not have any problem with this as long as the OUs were covered. It is an internal administrative issue for DOE/EG&G to resolve.